Critic TD algorithm with a linearly parameterized approximation

architecture for the q-function, of the form $Q_r^{\theta}(x, u) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} r^{i} \phi_{\theta}^{i}(x, u),$ $r = (r^1, ..., r^m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, denotes the parameter vector of the critic. $\phi_{\theta}^{j}, j = 1, ..., m$, features, used by the critic are dependent on the actor parameter θ . $r_{k+1} = r_k + \gamma_k \left(g(X_k, U_k) - \lambda_k + \boxed{Q_{r_k}}^{\theta_k} (X_{k+1}, U_k) - \boxed{Q_{r_k}}^{\theta_k} (X_k, U_k)\right) z_k$ Critic computes projection of value function onto a low-**Features for Critic** dimensional subspace spanned by a set of basis functions, @Subspace prescribed by the determined by the parameterization of Actor Choice of parameterization of Actor Lack reliable guarantees in Gradient estimators may Actor Critic terms of near-optimality of have a Large Variance **Policy** Value function Update policy parameters in a the resulting policy direction of performance No learning (accumulation improvement and consolidation of older Gradient of the performance, w.r.t. the actor TD learning parameters Update parameters in an approximation gradient direction $\frac{\theta_{k+1}}{\Gamma(r_k)} \neq \theta_k - \frac{\beta_k \Gamma(r_k) Q^{\theta_k}}{\Gamma(r_k)} X_{k+1}, U_{k+1}) \psi_{\theta_k}(X_{k+1}, U_{k+1}) \Gamma(r_k) > 0 \quad normalization \ \ \text{\#actor}.$ $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is Lipschitz continuous. There exists C > 0 such that $\Gamma \le \frac{C}{1 + ||r||}$

 β_k : a positive stepsize.