

COS 402 – Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence Fall 2016

#### Lecture 20: Reinforcement Learning - part III (function approximation) Sanjeev Arora **Elad Hazan**



### Admin

- (programming) exercise MCMC due today
- exercise on RL announced hereby due in 1 week
- Last lecture of the course: course summary + "ask us anything", Prof. Arora + myself.<br>Exercise: submit a question the lecture before (graded)
- Asking questions in class **everything is allowed, including "can you explain again"** (especially for RL material)
- Next class: Prof. Seung on deep learning
- Class after the next: Dr. Li (please submit questions)

#### Markov Decision Process

Markov Reward Process, definition:

- Tuple  $(S, P, R, A, \gamma)$  where
	- $S =$  states, including start state
	- $A = set of possible actions$
	- P = transition matrix  $P_{SS'}^a = Pr[S_{t+1} = s' | S_t = s, A_t = a]$
	- R = reward function,  $R_{S}^{\alpha} = E[R_{t+1} | S_t = s, A_t = a]$
	- $\gamma \in [0,1]$  = discount factor

• Return

$$
G_t = \sum_{i=1 \text{ to } \infty} R_{t+i} \gamma^{i-1}
$$

• Goal: take actions to maximize expected return

The Markovian structure  $\rightarrow$  best action depends only on current state!

- Policy = mapping from state to distribution over actions  $\pi: S \mapsto \Delta(A)$ ,  $\pi(a|S) = \Pr[A_t = a|S_t = s]$
- Given a policy, the MDP reduces to a Markov Reward Process









### Bellman optimality equations

• Bellman equation:  $v_*(s) = \max_{\mathbf{z}}$  $\overline{a}$  $q_*(s, a)$ } implies **Bellman optimality equations**:

$$
q_*(s, a) = R_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s'} P_{ss'}^a \max_{a'} \{q_*(s', a')\}
$$

$$
\nu_*(s) = \max_{a} \left\{ R_s^a + \gamma \sum_{s'} P_{ss'}^a \nu_*(s') \right\}
$$

- Iterative methods based on the Bellman equations: dynamic programming
	- Policy iteration
	- Value iteration





Value iteration

# Model-free RL

Thus far: assumed we know transition matrices, rewards, states, and they are not too large. What if transitions/rewards are:

- 1. unknown
- 2. too many to keep in memory / compute over

"model free" = we do not have the "model" = transition matrix P and reward vector R

• can estimate P and R from history, and use any of the methods we saw (solving for estimate may not be optimal!)

#### Monte Carlo policy iteration/evaluation

Instead of computing, estimate  $v_\pi(s) = E_\pi[G_t|S_t = s]$  by random walk:

- The first time state s is visited, update counter N(s) (increment every time it's visited again)
- Keep track of all rewards from this point onwards
- Estimate of  $G_t$  is sum of rewards / N(s).
- Claim: this estimator has expectation  $G_t(s)$ , and converges to it by law of large numbers
- Similarly can estimate value-action function  $q_{\pi}(s, a) = E_{\pi}[G_t | S_t = s, A_t = a]$
- What do we do with estimated values?
	- policy iteration requires rewards+transitions
	- Model-free policy improvement:

$$
\pi(s) = \arg\max_{a} \{q_{\pi}(s, a)\}
$$

### Temporal Difference learning

Similar idea, but instead of long-horizon estimation, iteratively update by

$$
v^{\pi}(s) = v^{\pi}(s) + \alpha(G_t - v^{\pi}(s))
$$
  
=  $v^{\pi}(s) + \alpha(R_{t+1} + \gamma v^{\pi}(s') - v^{\pi}(s))$ 

- More flexible than MC learning (don't need to wait for estimates to converge)
- Similar idea applies to state-action function  $q(s,a)$
- Never estimate the "model" (transition matrix and reward vector)

# LARGE state space

# of states may still be prohibitively large!

- Backgammon:  $10^{20}$  states
- Chess:  $10^{40}$  states
- Go:  $10^{70}$  states

Previous methods still infeasible!

Function Approximation: **approximate** the state space (and all model parameters) with a more compact one!

- Reduction in # of states (computation and space)
- More importantly: generalization to unseen states!

Types of (value / action-value) function approximation:

- Linear
- Neural network
- Decision tree
- …

### Function approximation

Finding optimal  $\theta \rightarrow k$  howledge of value for ALL states!

$$
\nu_{\theta}(s) = \theta_1 x_1(s) + \theta_2 x(s) + \dots + \theta_n x_n(s) = \theta^{\top} x(s)
$$

 $10^{40}$  states are mapped to linear function over n "important" features, *i.e.* 

- 1. Number of white pieces  $-$  black pieces
- 2. Distance between kings
- 3. Etc.

Learning a value function over n parameters: supervised learning!

Recall 1st part of coruse: sample complexity, computational complexity,...



#### Function approximation  $-$  computing value function

Natural objective: MSE between approximation and true value per state, i.e.

$$
f(\theta) = E_{\pi} (v_{\pi}(s) - v_{\theta}(s))^{2}
$$

Minimizing  $f(\theta)$ ?

Stochastic gradient descent!!

$$
\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \widehat{\nabla f(\theta_t)}
$$

Consider linear approximation:  $v_{\theta}(s) = \theta^{\top} x(s)$ , then algorithm becomes:

$$
\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \eta \ E_{\pi} \big( v_{\pi}(s) - v_{\theta}(s) \big) \times x(s)
$$

TD algorithm:

$$
\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \eta \left( R_{t+1} + \gamma \theta^\top x(s') \right) + \theta^\top x(s) \times x(s)
$$

#### How to improve the policy?

Apply same idea for state-action function, i.e. linear approximation:  $q_{\theta}(s, a) = \theta^{\top} x(s, a)$  for a state-action vector x(s,a). Optimize MSE of state-action error:

$$
f(\theta) = E_{\pi}(q_{\pi}(s, a) - q_{\theta}(s, a))^{2}
$$

TD algorithm:

$$
\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \eta \left( R_{t+1} + \gamma \max_{a'} \{ \theta^\top x(s', a') \} - \theta^\top x(s, a) \right) \times x(s, a)
$$

Off-policy vs. on-policy: for on need to add exploration (e.g. instead of greedy a' choice, choose with small probability an action at random).

# Policy gradient + function approximation



# Policy gradient algorithm for approximate MDP

Parametrized policy,  $\pi_{\theta}(s)$ , for example, could be the max action according to q functions:

$$
\pi_{\theta}(s) = \max_{a} q_{\theta}(s, a)
$$

 $(many times - soft approximation to max to ensure smoothness)$ 

Q-functions can be linear / deep nets, etc. 

Plan: gradient descent on the parameter  $\theta$  to optimize policy directly.

NOT the same as Q-learning w. value approximation! (not trying to optimize q function). How do we compute gradient?

We can compute:  $f(\theta) = E_{\pi_{\theta}}[v^{\pi_{\theta}}(s_1)]$ 

(by evaluating return, running policy)

#### gradient descent without a gradient

The derivative of a function  $f(x)$ :  $R \mapsto R$ 



$$
f'(x) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{f(x+\delta) - f(x-\delta)}{2\delta}
$$

$$
\approx E_{y \in_R \{-1,1\}} \left[ \frac{f(x + \delta y) \cdot y}{2 \delta} \right]
$$

Idea: can sample unbiased coin, and return gradient estimator by single evaluation of the function!

Can you see how to continue?

## gradient descent without a gradient

Stokes' theorem for  $f(x)$ :  $R^d \mapsto R$ , let  $\delta \ll 1$  be very small,

$$
\nabla f(x) \approx \nabla E_{|v| \le 1} [f(x + \delta v) = \frac{d}{\delta} E_{|y| = 1} [f(x + \delta v) \cdot v]
$$

Idea: can sample function at a **single** point  $x + \delta v$ , and estimate the gradient for stochastic gradient descent!

(or, almost equivalently, do the previous slide for each coordinate)



# Policy gradient without a gradient

Parametrized policy,  $\pi_{\theta}(s)$ , for example, could be the max action according to q functions:

$$
\pi_{\theta}(s) = \max_{a} q_{\theta}(s, a)
$$

(many times  $-$  soft approximation to max to ensure smoothness)

Update using gradient descent:

$$
\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \eta \, \widetilde{Vf(\theta_t)}
$$

Where the gradient estimator is obtained by:

$$
\frac{d}{\delta}E_{|y|=1}[f(\theta_t + \delta v) \cdot v]
$$

for  $f(\theta) = E_{\pi_{\theta}}[v^{\pi_{\theta}}(s_1)]$ 

(by evaluating return, running policy)

# Summary

- Model free algorithms for solving MDPs
	- Q-function (state-action) and value function estimation via MCMC
	- Same via temporal difference
	- Q-function optimization via temporal difference (or MCMC)
- Function approximation idea  $-$  generalization and efficiency
	- Gradient descent approximation to estimate value/Q functions
	- gradient descent to optimize the optimal Q-function directly
- Policy gradient method
	- Gradient descent without a gradient idea