MICHAEL CARLOS BEST

Department of Economics, Columbia University

michael.best@columbia.edu | www.columbia.edu/~mcb2270

420 West 118th Street, Room 1112, New York, NY 10027, USA | +1 (415) 316 5006 August 2021

EMPLOYMENT

2017-	Department of Economics, Columbia University	Assistant Professor
2014-2017	Stanford Institute for Economic Policy Research,	Postdoctoral Fellow
	Stanford University	

OTHER PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES

2018-	National Bureau of Economic Research, Public Economics	Faculty Research Fellow	
2018-	National Bureau of Economic Research,	Faculty Research Fellow	
	Development Economics	racarty research renow	
2018-	Center for Development Economics and Policy	Affiliate	
2017-	Bureau for Research and Economic Analysis of	Affiliate	
	Development (BREAD)		
2015-	Institute for Fiscal Studies (IFS)	International Research Fellow	
2015-20	Centre for Economic Policy Research (CEPR),	Research Affiliate	
	Development Economics		
2020-	Centre for Economic Policy Research (CEPR),	Research Fellow	
	Development Economics	Research renow	
2014-	Centre for Economic Policy Research (CEPR),	Research Affiliate	
	Public Economics		

EDUCATION

2009-2014 London School of Economics PhD Economics	
2011-2012 UC Berkeley Economics, Center for Equitable Growth Visiting PhD Student	
2008-2009 London School of Economics MRes Economics (dis	tinction)
2006-2008 University of Oxford MPhil Economics (di	stinction)
2003-2006 London School of Economics BSc Government & E	conomics

RESEARCH AND TEACHINGFIELDS

Public Economics and Development Economics

PUBLICATIONS

The Allocation of Authority in Organizations: A Field Experiment with Bureaucrats (with Oriana Bandiera, Adnan Khan, and Andrea Prat) June 2021. Forthcoming, Quarterly Journal of Economics

We design a field experiment to study how the allocation of authority between frontline procurement officers and their monitors affects performance both directly and through the response to incentives. In collaboration with the government of Punjab, Pakistan, we shift authority from monitors to procurement officers and introduce financial incentives in a sample of 600 procurement officers in 26 districts. We find that autonomy alone reduces prices by 9% without reducing quality and that the effect is stronger when the monitor tends to delay approvals for purchases until the end of the fiscal year. In contrast, the effect of performance pay is muted, except when agents face a monitor who does not delay approvals. Time

use data reveal agents' responses vary along the same margin: autonomy increases the time devoted to procurement and this leads to lower prices only when monitors cause delays. By contrast, incentives work when monitors do not cause delays. The results illustrate that organizational design and anti-corruption policies must balance agency issues at different levels of the hierarchy.

Estimating the Elasticity of Intertemporal Substitution Using Mortgage Notches (with James Cloyne, Ethan Ilzetzki and Henrik Kleven) *Review of Economic Studies*, 87(2), 656–690, 2020

Using a novel source of quasi-experimental variation in interest rates, we develop a new approach to estimating the Elasticity of Intertemporal Substitution (EIS). In the U.K., the mortgage interest rate features discrete jumps—notches—at thresholds for the loan-to-value (LTV) ratio. These notches generate large bunching below the critical LTV thresholds and missing mass above them. We develop a dynamic model that links these empirical moments to the underlying structural EIS. The average EIS is small, around 0.1, and quite homogeneous in the population. This finding is robust to structural assumptions and can allow for uncertainty, a wide range of risk preferences, portfolio reallocation, liquidity constraints, present bias, and optimization frictions. Our findings have implications for the numerous calibration studies that rely on larger values of the EIS.

Housing Market Responses to Transaction Taxes: Evidence from Notches and Stimulus in the UK (with Henrik Kleven) *Review of Economic Studies*, 85(1), 157–193, 2018

We investigate housing market responses to transaction taxes using administrative data on all property transactions in the UK from 2004-2012 combined with quasi-experimental variation from tax notches and tax stimulus. We present two main findings. First, transaction taxes are highly distortionary across a range of margins, causing large distortions to the price, volume and timing of property transactions. Second, temporary transaction tax cuts are an enormously effective form of fiscal stimulus. A temporary elimination of a 1% transaction tax increased housing market activity by 20% in the short run (due to both timing and extensive responses) and less than half of the stimulus effect was reversed after the tax was reintroduced (due to re-timing). Because of the complementarities between moving house and consumer spending, these stimulus effects translate into extra spending per dollar of tax cut equal to about 1. We interpret our empirical findings in the context of a housing model with downpayment constraints in which leverage amplifies the effects of transaction taxes.

Production vs Revenue Efficiency With Limited Tax Capacity: Theory and Evidence From Pakistan (with Anne Brockmeyer, Henrik Kleven, Johannes Spinnewijn and Mazhar Waseem) *Journal of Political Economy*, 123(6), 1311–1355, 2015

To fight evasion, many developing countries resort to production-inefficient tax policies. This includes minimum tax schemes whereby firms are taxed on either profits or turnover, depending on which tax liability is larger. Such schemes create non-standard kink points, which allow for eliciting evasion responses to switches between profit and turnover taxes using a bunching approach. Using administrative tax records on corporations in Pakistan, we estimate that turnover taxes reduce evasion by up to 60-70% of corporate income. Incorporating this in a calibrated optimal tax model, we find that switching from profit to turnover taxation increases revenue by 74% without reducing aggregate profits, despite the production inefficiency that it introduces.

WORKING PAPERS

Individuals and Organizations as Sources of State Effectiveness, and Consequences for Policy Design (with Jonas Hjort, and David Szakonyi) October 2019. Revise and Resubmit, *American Economic Review*

How important are bureaucrats for the productivity of the state? And to what extent do the tradeoffs between different policies depend on the implementing bureaucrats' effectiveness? Using data on 16 million public procurement purchases in Russia during 2011–2016, we show that over 40 percent of the variation in quality-adjusted prices paid---our measure of performance---is due to the individual bureaucrats and organizations that manage procurement processes. Such differences in effectiveness matter for policy design. To illustrate, we show that a common procurement policy---bid preferences for domestic suppliers--dramatically improves performance, but only when implemented by *ineffective* bureaucrats.

Salary Misreporting and the Role of Firms in Workers' Responses to Taxes: Evidence from Pakistan May 2014

This paper exploits employee-employer matched administrative tax data on firms and salaried workers in Pakistan to explore the underappreciated role of firms in determining how workers' taxable earnings respond to taxation. I present evidence on three ways in which firms affect workers' earnings responses. First, third-party reporting of salaries by employers makes underreporting taxable income more costly for workers and reduces evasion of the income tax. Second, firms' equilibrium salary-hours offers respond endogenously to the presence of adjustment costs in the labour market by tailoring offers to aggregate worker preferences. Third, workers learn about the tax schedule from firms' salary offers, making them more responsive to taxation both contemporaneously (by 130%) and in subsequent years (by 100%). However, while third-party reporting makes misreporting more costly, it does not eliminate it in a low tax-capacity setting: 19% of workers still underreport their salaries, leading to a loss of about 5% of tax revenue, and indicating high returns to investments in improving enforcement capacity. The large role played by firms in determining workers' earnings implies that firms need to play a central role in our analysis of income taxation in lower income countries.

Optimal Income Taxation with Career Effects of Work Effort (with Henrik Kleven) February 2013. Revise and Resubmit, *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*

The literature on optimal income taxation assumes that wage rates are generated exogenously by innate ability and therefore do not respond to behavior and taxation. This is in stark contrast to a large empirical literature documenting a strong effect of current work effort on future wage rates. We extend the canonical Mirrleesian optimal tax framework to incorporate such career effects and provide analytical characterizations that depend on estimable entities. Besides the standard static earnings elasticity with respect to the marginal tax rate, the optimal tax schedule also depends on the elasticity of future wages with respect to current work effort. We explore the empirical magnitude of this "career elasticity" in a meta-analysis of the literature on the returns to work experience and tenure, concluding that a reasonable value for this elasticity lies between 0.2 and 0.4. Calibrating the model to US micro data (under reasonable values of the career elasticity), we present numerical simulations of optimal

nonlinear tax schedules that depend on per-period earnings and potentially on age. In the case of age-independent taxation, the presence of career effects make the tax schedule substantially less progressive than in standard models with exogenous wage rates. In the case of age-dependent taxation, career effects create a strong argument for lower taxes on the old, opposite the recommendation in the recent literature on age-dependent taxation. This result reflects both a career incentive effect and an equity effect, where the latter effect arises because increasing earnings over the career path for each ability level imply that, *conditional on earnings*, age and ability are negatively correlated.

SELECTED WORK IN PROGRESS

Greener on the Other Side? Spatial Discontinuties in Property Tax Rates and their Effects on Tax Morale (with François Gerard, Joana Naritomi, Evan Plous and Laura Zoratto)

Research Question: Do perceptions of unfairness and inequality in property tax liabilities contribute to delinquency?

We study the urban property tax in Manaus, Brazil, one of the city's main revenue sources. Households' tax liability depends on which sector of the city they live in, but this can lead neighbors on opposite sides of a street, but who are in different sectors, to owe wildly different taxes, which is widely perceived as unfair. Combining administrative data on tax liabilities, payments and property transfers; reforms to the tax liabilities in the different sectors, and an experiment informing households of the tax liabilities of other sectors, we study whether perceived unfairness affects tax payments.

REFEREEING SERVICE

American Economic Review, American Economic Review: Insights, American Economic Journal: Applied, American Economic Journal: Economic Policy, Econometrica, Economic Development and Cultural Change, Economic Journal, Economica, Economic et Statistique, J-PAL, Journal of Development Economics, Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, Journal of the European Economic Association, Journal of Political Economy, Journal of Public Economics, Journal of Public Economics Theory, International Tax and Public Finance, Journal of Urban Economics, Labour Economics, National Science Foundation, National Tax Journal, Quarterly Journal of Economics, Rand Journal of Economics, Review of Economic Studies, Review of Economics and Statistics, Review of International Organizations, World Bank Economic Review, World Bank DIME

TEACHING

Fall 2020 UG 3412: Introduction to Econometrics (Undergraduate), instructor,

Columbia University

GR6307: Public Economics & Development (PhD level), instructor, Columbia Spring 2018, 2019

Fall 2019, 2020 University

2017-2019 UG 4999: Undergraduate Honors Seminar, instructor, Columbia University Winter 2016, Fall 2016

Economics 241: Public Finance and Taxation I (PhD level), lecturer, Stanford

University

Economics 102C: Advanced Topics in Econometrics (undergraduate level), Spring 2015

lecturer, Stanford University

EC270 Public Finance (undergraduate level), Class Teacher, Summer School, Summer 2009, 2010, 2011

London School of Economics

Fall 2009 – Spring 2011 EC426 Public Economics (masters level), Graduate Teaching Assistant,

London School of Economics

Fall 2009 – Spring 2011 EC307 Development Economics (undergraduate level), Graduate Teaching

Assistant, London School of Economics

Fall 2010 – Spring 2011 EC307 Extension course on Empirical Methods, Instructor and co-designer of

course, London School of Economics

Fall 2008 – Spring 2009 EC201 Microeconomic Principles (undergraduate level), Graduate Teaching

Assistant, London School of Economics

AWARDS AND PRIZES

2011 Outstanding Teaching Commendation, Economics Network of the Higher

Education Academy

2009 & 2011 Teaching Prize, LSE Economics & Teaching and Learning Centre Economic & Social Research Council Quota Award, LSE, Economics 2008 - 2011

2008 George Webb Medley Prize Proxime Accessit for the best thesis, University of

Oxford, Department of Economics

2007 - 2008David Walton Distinguished Doctoral Scholarship, University of Oxford,

Department of Economics

OTHER INFORMATION

Software: Stata, R, LATEX, LyX, Matlab, Maple, Mathematica, basic HTML

Languages: English (native), Spanish (bilingual), Dutch (excellent), French (very good) Professional: Member of the European Economic Association, the American Economic

Association, the National Tax Association and the International Institute of

Public Finance

Citizenship: El Salvador and United Kingdom

Sport: LSE squash team 2003-2006 & 2008-2011